PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

250 Cambridge Ave, Suite 300
Palo Alto, CA 94306-1549
TEL 650.330.2310
FAX 650.330.2314

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

DATE: March 7, 2008

FILE NO: K30291

TO: Examiner Michael D. Pham

FAX NO: (571) 273-3924

COMPANY: USPTO

FROM: Natalya Hartmann

PAGE(S) with cover: 5

ORIGINAL TO FOLLOW? ☐ YES ☒ NO

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

TITLE:
U.S. SERIAL NO.: 10/757,793
FILING DATE: 01/15/04
INVENTOR: Jordi Albornoz
EXAMINER: Michael D. Pham
GROUP ART UNIT: 2167
CONFIRMATION NO.: 5432

MESSAGE:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

217545_1

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/757,793   First Named Applicant: Jordi Albornoz

Examiner: M. Pham   Art Unit: 2167   Status of Application: pending

Tentative Participants:
(1) Gero McClellan   (2) Natalya Hartmann

(3)   (4)

Proposed Date of Interview: 3/11/08   Proposed Time: 1:30   (AM☐/PM☒)

Type of Interview Requested:
(1) ☒ Telephonic   (2) ☐ Personal   (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO
If yes, provide brief description:

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej.	9-13, 25-29	____	☐	☐	☐
(2) New claims	30, 31	____	☐	☐	☐
(3) ____	____	____	☐	☐	☐
(4) ____	____	____	☐	☐	☐

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

- Cited references do not teach limitations of amended independent claims 9 and 25

- Cited references do not teach limitations of dependent claims 10 and 13

- None of the cited references teach all of the limitations of new claims 30, 31

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____ .
NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature   Examiner/SPE Signature

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

Registration Number, if applicable

672871_1

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims ___, and amend the claims as follows:

1-8.   (Cancelled)

9.   (Currently Amended)   A method for managing annotations for multiple versions of documents, comprising:

selecting one or more annotation versioning policies dictating how annotations made for a current version of a document should be applied to a subsequent version of the document, wherein the subsequent version of the document includes content that is different from the current version of the document; and

allowing creators of annotations for a current version of a document to select one or more of the annotation versioning policies to dictate how the annotations should be applied to subsequent versions of the document.

10.   (Original)   The method of claim 9, wherein at least one of the annotation versioning policies dictates that annotations made for a current version will not be applied to subsequent versions of the document.

11.   (Original)   The method of claim 9, wherein the one or more annotation versioning policies selected are applied at the document level, to all annotations created for a document.

12.   (Original)   The method of claim 9, wherein at least one of the annotation versioning policies dictates that an annotation created for a current version of a document will be applied to subsequent versions of the document.

13.   (Original)   The method of claim 9, wherein at least one of the annotation versioning policies dictates that an authorized user must validate an annotation created for a current version of a document before the annotation is applied to subsequent versions of the document.

662146_1

14-24. (Cancelled)

25. (Original) A method for managing annotations for multiple versions of documents, comprising:

defining a set of available annotation policies, each dictating how an annotation created for [[one]] a current version of a document should be applied to one or more subsequent versions of the document, wherein each of the subsequent versions of the document includes content that is different from the current version of the document; and

providing an interface allowing a user to select one or more of the available annotation policies to be applied to the annotation.

26. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein defining a set of available annotation policies comprises associating one or more annotation policies for use with annotations made for a certain type of document.

27. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein the annotation policies provided to the user in the interface is determined, at least in part, on a credential of the user.

28. (Original) The method of claim 27, wherein the annotation policies provided to the user in the interface is determined, at least in part, on a type of document associated with the annotation.

29. (Original) The method of claim 25, wherein defining a set of available annotation policies comprises defining the set of available annotation policies by an administrator, wherein the administrator and the user are different entities.

30. (New): The method of claim 9, wherein at least one of the annotation versioning policies dictates that an annotation created for a current version of a document and applied to a subsequent version of the document includes a marker indicating that the annotation in the subsequent version of the document is unverified.

662146_1

31.   (New):   The method of claim 30, wherein the marker is included in the annotation in the subsequent version of the document until an authorized user validates the annotation created for the current version of the document.

662146_1